Draft Articles on the Prevention and Punishment of Orime Against Humanity UNGA78 Sixth Committee Resumed Session - Canada Statement Ouster 2

Madame Chair,

Thank you for giving me the floor.

This cluster contains what is arguably the heart of any future Convention, namely the definition of crimes against humanity.

With respect to the appropriateness of using the definition provided in the Rome Statute as a basis, we wish to acknowledge the plethora of views expressed by States, whether Parties to the Rome Statute or not. For our part, we remain of the view that the definition provided in the Rome Statute should be used as a reference point for the definition to be included in any future Convention, due to the value of legal certainty. We encourage all States to consider the benefits that would emerge from this approach in terms of harmonization between the definitions.

I would also like to address the various forms of understanding of the

crimes against humanity would be the right instrument to address this issue. Canada maintains its perspective that gender is an important aspect of any future Convention and that avoiding a definition of the term and leaving it to the determination of each State, at the national level, may be, as we have expressed

Madame Chair,

Turning to some specific elements of the definition.

We wish to address the requirement that crimes against humanity be committed as part of a widespread <u>or</u> systematic attack directed against any civilian population. While there has been discussion about whether the

including as

conjuctive requirements, Canada confirms that retaining the disjunctive nature of these elements corresponds to our view, as it reflects customary international law. With regard to the crime against humanity of persecution, we remain of the opinion that Draft Subparagraph 1(h) should only persecution, consistent with the other listed acts. The remaining relevant elements could then be added to the definition of persecutionstinitrafth <u>Turning to Draft Article 3</u>, we reiterate our view that, if not previously specified in the preamble, a reference to the fact that any future Convention would not affect international humanitarian law, which constitutes *lex specialis* applicable in armed conflict, could be included in this provision.

<u>As for Draft Article 4</u>, Canada believes that consideration should be given to bringing its language into closer alignment with that of Article 2 of the Convention against Torture and Other Cruel, Inhuman or Degrading Treatment or Punishment, given the similarities between the two.

We also noted the questions and concerns regarding cooperation on prevention. Although States should retain flexibility, effective inter-State cooperation, along with other appropriate forms of cooperation, is a key element of any future Convention aiming at preventing crimes against humanity. With this in mind, we continue to see value in including a reference to cooperation with international appropriate.

Thank you, Madame Chair.

Words @ 140WPM: 5 min 25 sec.