



# SLOVAKIA

## STATEMENT

by

**Mr. O D W ~ ã . 2 â 8 7 +**

Legal Adviser

Permanent Mission of the Slovak Republic to the United Nations

78<sup>th</sup> session of the United Nations General Assembly  
Sixth Committee

Report of the International Law Commission  
on the work of its seventy-third session (item 79)  
Cluster II

New York, 1 November 2023

(check against delivery)



delegation is of the view that the weight of a particular criteria should be reflected in the order

Madam / Mr. Chair,

Allow me to present some preliminary comments also on draft conclusions 4 and 5 proposed by the Special Rapporteur and provisionally adopted by the Drafting Committee.

As to the draft conclusion 4, it is without any doubt of great importance to refer to judicial decisions of International Court of Justice. However, we would urge for caution in setting different standards, relevance, or even hierarchy between judicial decisions of various judicial institutions. The authority of the International Court of Justice is undeniable; however, it is essential to point out that in certain cases, the decisions of other international courts and tribunals could be more relevant due to their expertise in particular areas.

Moreover, we would appreciate if the drafting on national jurisprudence were more precise. We tend to support the views of some members, who stressed the need for additional criteria specifically applicable to the decisions of national courts. In this regard, we specifically recall the need for differentiation of the criteria on assessment of judicial decisions.

Eventually, I will turn to the draft conclusion 5. We believe that to develop, interpret, and apply international law, in order for it to have strong foundation and thorough wide support, it is essential to listen to not only “*coinciding*” views of teachings, but equally to ensure that these coinciding views come from various legal systems and regions of the world. We note with satisfaction the progress made on this matter in the Drafting Committee.

My delegation will be closely following the progress on this topic and is looking forward to engaging in further discussions in the years to come.

Madam / Mr. Chair,

6 X F F H V V L R Q R I 6 W D W H V L Q U H I V S U L I F I W R I 6 W D

to express our concerns about the way that the Commission approached the considerable work done so far on the topic. My delegation regrets that the work has not moved forward since the

last session of the ILC, despite being ready, in our view, for adoption on first reading. We reiterate our previous views, that draft articles would have been the most appropriate outcome, but we have been prepared to support also the form of draft guidelines. We believe that such change of product's form met with significant support in our last year's debate. Therefore, it is even more difficult to understand why these views of States were not taken into account. Instead, a working group without request or considerable support in this Committee, has been formed. Should the Commission not eventually proceed to appoint a Special Rapporteur, we expect the Working Group to steer the works on the topic towards the completion of first reading. We will follow the developments at the next session very closely.

I thank you.