8 November 2023

Check against delivery

Thank you, Chair.

I have the honour to deliver this statement on behalf of Canada, New Zealand and my own country, Australia.

CANZ welcomes the growing reflection within the Sixth Committee on its



Our mission to contribute to the codification and progressive development of international law, consistent with Article 13, paragraph (1) of the UN Charter,



Equally, CANZ would like to thank Conference Services and all our Sixth Committee interpreters. Quite simply, we could not do our jobs without you tand we know we make it harder for you every time we get creative with Z]vš CE š]À. Yo(u) fatienše and skill are deeply appreciated.

[Mr/Madam] Chair,

Looking ahead, CANZ wishes to put forward five ideas for achievable, pragmatic measures that the Sixth Committee could take now to deliver better outcomes.

We recommend that, for any agenda items involving informal briefings
from the Secretariat or others, those briefings should be scheduled
before the plenary debate. This would enable delegations to take
account of the information and clarifications provided in them when
preparing our statements.



We further suggest that the Committee should determine time limits
for statements during the annual three-part debate on the ILC report,
building upon the successful implementation of time limits for other
plenary statements.





- We encourage ILC members, especially Special Rapporteurs, to take advantage of virtual working methods to increase informal, intersessional dialogue with the Committee and its members, both in New York and in capitals.
- We also support the suggestion made by others to hold regular ILC







Indeed, for that reason, we encourage all other UN Committees to consult with the Sixth Committee before establishing a new treaty-making process, consistent with the General Assembly Rules of Procedure and its Annexes, as amended, which provide that where legal aspects of any question are considered important, the matter should be referred to the Sixth Committee.

Nonetheless, we share concerns that have been expressed by others regarding the misuse of the consensus tradition to obstruct decisions that otherwise have a clear and overwhelming consensus.

There is no veto power in the General Assembly or its Main Committees.

We will disagree at times. But CANZ remains steadfast in its commitment to, and belief in, constructive, good faith negotiation.

With this **Ç CE** [• **o v u CE** I, by)consepsel, jof a new Agreement on Marine Biological Diversity Beyond National Jurisdiction, and the consensus adoption of a request by the General Assembly for an ICJ Advisory Opinion on climate change, we have seen what can be achieved through this approach to ensure the UN system delivers on the interests and priorities of all countries, large and small.

For our part, CANZ stands ready to continue working constructively and collaboratively with the Sixth Committee to this end.



